

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,148	09/11/2003	Thomas W. Hedrick	14354.11.1	4985	
7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER			
DANA L. TANGREN			DILLON JR, JOSEPH A		
WORKMAN N 1000 EAGLE (NYDEGGER GATE TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			3651	3651	
SALT LAKE (CITY, UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Uc -			
(1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
V	10/660,148	HEDRICK ET AL.	;			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph A. Dillon, Jr.	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	19SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2003.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	_					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the α 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,148 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a hopper, classified in class 193, subclass 15.
 - II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a coated hopper, classified in class 193, subclass 25R.
 - III. Claims 21-33, drawn to a method of making a hopper, classified in class 193, subclass 2R.
 - IV. Claims 34-43, drawn to a method of retrofitting, classified in class 193, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination as claimed requires a rigid side wall. The subcombination has separate utility such as a hopper for denser materials.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 10/660,148

Art Unit: 3651

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case both the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus and the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus can be used to dispense material & the method is used for construction.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case both, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus and the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus can be used to dispense material & the method is used for construction.
- 5. Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case both the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus and the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus can be used to dispense material & the method is used for construction.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,148 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

6. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a method of retrofitting. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

```
Category A

Species I, Figure(s) 1;

Species II, Figure(s) 6;

Species IV, Figure(s) 10;

Category B

Species I, Figure(s) 2;

Species II, Figure(s) 3;

Species III, Figure(s) 4;
```

Election is required of one species from each of the above categories. These two selections will be considered to fulfill the following requirement for election of a single disclosed species. The applicant is further required to select an invention from

Species IV, Figure(s) 5.

A + 11 - 14 - 00 - 14

Art Unit: 3651

paragraph 1 in conjunction with this single disclosed species. The applicant is to then indicate which claim(s) from the elected invention read on the species of each category. That is to say, indicate the logical intersection of all selections.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim(s) appear(s), prima facia, as generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,148 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Dillon, Jr. whose telephone number is (703)305-9728. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (703)308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOE DILLON, JR. PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER 4